

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated August 9, 2006, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above claim amendments and the following remarks. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-26 are pending in the present Application for consideration upon entry of the following remarks. No new matter is introduced by these Remarks. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for allegedly not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Particularly, the Examiner states that "the fixing protrusion" of Claim 18 must be shown or features cancelled from the claim. Applicant respectfully submits that "the fixing protrusion" of Claim 18 is shown in Figure 9.

Claim 18 recites *inter alia*, "an electrically conductive fixing member having a base substrate, a resilient fixing clip protruded from an upper surface of the base substrate so as to fix the lamp, and *a fixing protrusion protruded from an upper surface of the base substrate* so as to prevent the lamp from moving in a longitudinal direction of the lamp." [Emphasis added.] That is, the fixing member includes the fixing protrusion of Claim 18.

In a non-limiting exemplary embodiment as illustrated in Figure 9, a first lamp-fixing member 220 includes a base substrate 221, fixing clips protruded from an upper surface of the base substrate 221 and a fixing protrusion 224 protruded from the base substrate. (Page 16, lines 14-21.) The fixing protrusion 224 is disposed between the first ends of the lamps 211 and the sidewall of the mold frame 260 and makes contact with the ends of the lamps 211 so as to *prevent movement of the lamps to the direction D5* (e.g. longitudinal direction of the lamps). (Page 16, line 22-Page 17, line 2.)

Therefore, "the fixing protrusion" of Claim 18 is shown in Figure 9. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the relevant Drawing objection are respectfully

requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 18 and 23 are objected to because of informalities. Particularly, the Examiner states that regarding “a fixing protrusion protruded from an upper surface” in line 5 of Claim 18 and line 2 of Claim 23, it is unclear which fixing protrusion applicant is referring to since applicant discloses in the specification a “fixing protrusion (not shown) (p. 6, lines 21) and a “first fixing protrusion 261b”.

As discussed above with respect to the Drawing objections, Claim 18 and Figure 9, *the fixing member* includes the fixing protrusion of Claim 18. Similarly, Claim 23 recites *inter alia*, “*the fixing member* further comprises a fixing protrusion integrally formed with the base substrate so as to prevent the lamp from moving towards a sidewall of the receiving receptacle.”

In the non-limiting exemplary embodiment described at Page 6, line 21 and referring to Figures 1A and 1B, the lamp fixing member 220 includes a fixing portion 221a formed by partially cutting away the base substrate 221 and coupled to a fixing protrusion (not shown) *formed on the mold frame 260*. That is, the “fixing protrusion” referred to by the Examiner is formed on the mold frame 260 and not on the lamp fixing member as claimed in Claims 18 and 23.

Regarding the non-limiting exemplary embodiment described with reference to fixing protrusion 261b, again referring to Figures 1A and 1B, *the mold frame 260* includes a first receiving portion 261 receiving first lamp fixing member 220, the first receiving portion 261 including a first fixing protrusion 261b *protruded from an upper surface of the first receiving portion 261* (i.e., of the mold frame 260). (Page 6, lines 23-25.) Here again, the “fixing protrusion” referred to by the Examiner is formed on the mold frame 260 and not on the lamp fixing member as claimed in Claims 18 and 23.

Applicant respectfully submits that “the fixing member” of Claims 18 and 23 is clearly set forth in the claims and supported by the originally filed Specification and Figures. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the relevant claim objections are respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 102

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoo et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,905,224 (hereinafter “Yoo”). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

In the Office Action power supply modules 301,302, base body 310, clip section 330 and coupling section 340 of Yoo are considered as teaching the fixing member, base substrate, fixing clip and fixing portion, respectively, of the claimed invention. Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Yoo discloses the body 310 is coupled to the container body 250 through a screw 430 and includes a coupling section 340 to which the screw 430 is coupled. (Col. 6, lines 47-53 and Figures 6-8.) Body 310 of Yoo is illustrated as a substantially flat planar member. (See, Figures 6-8.) Coupling section 340, as illustrated by Yoo, is merely a hole or space in the planar body 310 member.

For purposes of this response, if the clip section 330 is considered as *extending* from a first surface of the base body 310 as claimed, coupling section 340 cannot be considered as “extending from an opposite second surface” of Claim 22. To the contrary, coupling section 340 is merely a hole in the planar body 310 member and includes no feature that can be considered as “extending” from the body 310 as disclosed by Yoo, especially extending from an opposite second surface of the body 310. Therefore, Yoo does not disclose a first fixing portion extending from an opposite second surface of the base substrate, the first fixing portion configured to fix the fixing member relative to a receiving receptacle of Claim 22.

Thus, Yoo fails to disclose all of the limitations of Claim 22. Accordingly, Yoo does not anticipate Claim 22. Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 22 is not further rejected or objected and is therefore allowable. Reconsideration and allowance of Claim 22 are respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner’s noting allowable Claims 1-21 and 26. Applicant further gratefully acknowledges the Examiner’s noting the allowable

subject matter in Claims 23-25. However, Applicant respectfully submits that independent Claim 22, from which Claims 23-25 depend is allowable, as discussed above. As such, Applicant has not rewritten Claims 23-25 in independent form at this time.

Conclusion

All of the objections and rejections are herein overcome. In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the instant application is in condition for allowance. No new matter is added by way of the present Amendments and Remarks, as support is found throughout the original filed specification, claims and drawings. Prompt issuance of Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' attorney at the below listed phone number regarding this response or otherwise concerning the present application.

Applicants hereby petition for any necessary extension of time required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) or 1.136(b) which may be required for entry and consideration of the present Reply.

If there are any charges due with respect to this Amendment or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 06-1130 maintained by Applicants' attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

By: /Amy Bizon-Copp/

Amy Bizon-Copp
Registration No. 53,993
Cantor Colburn LLP
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002
PTO Customer No. 23413
Telephone: (860) 286-2929
Fax: (860) 286-0115

Date: November 3, 2006